

Upper Grande Ronde River Watershed Partnership  
Place-Based Integrated Water Resource Planning  
Stakeholder Meeting

**Meeting Minutes**

**April 12, 2017**

**Blue Mountain Conference Center**

**ATTENDANCE:** Donna Beverage, Steve Parrett, Jed Hassinger, Brett Moore, Jed Hassinger, Nick Myatt, Dana Kurtz, Scott Hartell, Darcy Johnson Carreiro, Rod McKee, Matt Insko, Rodger Huffman, Brett Rudd, Jeff Oveson, Smita Mehta, Tonya Dowbrowski, DeLon Lee, Darrel Dyke, Kate Frenyea, Adrienne Averett, Larry Larson, Bobby Hill, Delmer Hansen, Bill Gamble, Gary Miller, Kathleen Cathey & Tom Demianen

Via Computer conference call in: Caitlyn Barter & Margaret Matter

Convener, Donna Beverage opened the meeting at 5:05 pm

**I. Welcome & Introductions:**

- A. Donna welcomed all in attendance, and asked everyone to please introduce themselves and what their interest is in this project.
- B & C. Donna shared that there are several documents on the table at the back of the room for any new attendees. She gave a re-cap of the last Stakeholder meeting and shared what was discussed at the most recent Steering Committee meeting in March.
- D. The Stakeholder Committee approved the meeting minutes as submitted, from February 22, 2017.
- E. Donna gave an update on the MOA and Signature process.

**II. Step 2 Updates:**

- A. Dana Kurtz gave a general update and explanation of where the group is at in the Step 2 stage as well as what there is left to do for Step 2.
- B. Brett Moore & Dana shared a summary of recent Technical Committee meetings. They shared data collected, overall work of the group and what they would like to accomplish moving forward.
- C. Smita Mehta and Tonya Dowbrowski from DEQ gave a power point presentation of data collected by the DEQ that is being used & presented to the Tech Committee in this process. They explained how they gather and report this water data. They also answered questions from those in attendance providing clarification.

**III. Feedback on Data Collected:**

- A. The following is a list of feedback, concerns or suggestions for data collection that was given after small groups met during the break out session.
  - Different measurements
  - Lack of data because of extensive logging during a 30 year time frame


- Effects of all uses that may affect flow
- Chart seems limited
- Brett's group- presentation of information in "chart" portion. Can you provide that within the map?
- Jed's group- They were confused a little with the term, hydro- graph labeled "acre feet" means "volume"
- Nick's group- talked about the naturalized flow data (assumptions) would like to show the actual gauged flow data.
- Summary of demands on the natural flow chart; industrial, agriculture, municipal, etc.
- Data gaps... not just because they are being over fished.
- Water Resources & DEQ information... Maybe combine and use one number
- Land scape, geology, precipitation, trends, inputs water into the system
- Wait for water "quality" until another step.
- Too much data on the posters
- Get data from Forest Service; technical resources from OWRD to look at it from an input standpoint
- Data gaps for sub basins 7 & 8
- Temperature trends that were not explained on the bottom of the chart
- Impairment of basins 5 & 8 as far as the terrain. Look at environment & the beneficial use. Is that data available?

#### IV. Conclusion:

- A. The next Stakeholder meeting will be Thursday, May 18th at the Blue Mtn. Conference Room.
- B. Donna adjourned the April 12th 2017 Stakeholder meeting at 7:24pm.
- C. Other Suggestions/ Comments:

<http://union-county.org/planning/place-based-integrated-water-resources-planning/>

Respectfully Submitted,



Darcy Johnson Carreiro  
Senior Department Specialist II